## WTO WORKSHOP ON AGRICULTURE NOTIFICATIONS

BUILDING TECHNICAL CAPACITY
TO PREPARE/ANALYSE
NOTIFICATIONS IN THE EXPORT
SUBSIDIES AREA

presentation by

AUSTRALIA

23 September 2009

### Introduction

- Who notifies?
  - All members
    - most will have a "NIL" response for export subsidies
  - Significant exporters
  - Food aid donors
- What to notify?
  - Annual use of subsidies: both volume and budgetary outlays
    - even if 'NIL"
  - For "significant exporters" (G/AG/2/Add.1):
    - Total volume of exports of products concerned
  - Food aid volume

## Preparing Australia's CoA Notifications

- Either DFAT/DAFF assume responsibility
  - E.g. DFAT coordinates export subsidy notifications
- Involves:
  - Building and maintaining relationships with other agencies
  - Responding to queries
  - Compiling Australia's notification
  - Reviewing notifications

# Australia's Export Subsidies Notifications

- Australia's scheduled commitments:
  - pears, butter and butteroil, skim milk powder, cheese and other milk products
  - Nil since 1995
    - Except twice: MY 1998/99 and 1999/00
- Australia is a "significant exporter" (G/AG/2/Add.1):
  - wheat and wheat flour, sugar, bovine meat, sheep meat and cotton
- Food Aid:
  - Nil since 2006
    - money now sent directly to international agencies

## Challenges & Solutions: Australia's Experience

#### **Challenges:**

- Staff turnover
  - Corporate knowledge lost
  - Rebuilding networks takes time

- Handover notes
  - List of agencies, sections and contacts
- Detailed footnotes in notifications, including:
  - Why information was included
  - Sources

### Challenges & Solutions: Australia's Experience (2)

#### **Challenges:**

- Submitting notifications on time delays due to:
  - Focus on Doha Round negotiations
  - Availability of trade data
  - Working with other agencies

- Balance competing priorities
- Promote better coordination / communication
  - Guidelines and in person
- Calendar of dates

## Challenges & Solutions Australia's Experience (3)

#### **Challenges:**

- Different marketing years for different commodities
  - Pears: Calendar year
  - Dairy: Financial year (July-June)
  - CoA guidelines (G/AG/2)
    - Stipulates notification deadlines
      - » Multiple notifications for different products?

- Harmonise reporting
  - Submit one annual notification, covering all products

## Challenges & Solutions Review Process

#### **Challenges:**

- Expertise & time to review
- Incentive to review
  - Need certainty that meaningful responses will be provided

- Earlier reminder of forthcoming CoA meetings
- Utilise guides
  - WT/TC/NOTIF/AG/1
  - Cairns Group guide to reviewing CoA notifications
- Encourage detailed information (e.g. footnotes)
- Discourage inadequate responses
  - Not just cite websites or domestic regulation numbers

# Challenges & Solutions Review Process (2)

#### **Challenges:**

Verifying data in export subsidy notifications

- Ensure reporting periods and data is consistent across ES tables, and from year to year
- Provide tariff line details for each product group
  - Some product groups are broad: fruit and vegetables
  - Some products incorporated across several product categories
- Update list of "significant exporters"
  - G/AG/2/Add.1 (16 October 1995)

### Final Observations

- Transparency is critical
- Internal systems are important
  - Establish and maintain knowledge-base & communication channels
- Continuous improvement
  - Promote 'best practice' when preparing and reviewing notifications
  - Best practice' improvements could avoid repeated questions and make the notification and review processes less burdensome.

### **Further Information**

Ken.gordon@dfat.gov.au

Cairns Group Guide to Reviewing CoA Notifications